arXiv:1110.6694v1 [math-ph] 31 Oct 2011

Approximate nonlinear self-adjointness and its application to perturbed PDEs

Zhiyong Zhang*

KLMM, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing, 100080, P.R. China

Abstract: In this paper, approximate nonlinear self-adjointness and its properties for perturbed PDEs are further studied. Approximate conservation laws are constructed by means of the method which cannot be obtained by classical Noether theorem. The method is applied to a class of perturbed nonlinear wave equations to illustrate the effectiveness.

Keywords: Approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, Approximate conservation law, Perturbed PDEs

1 Introduction

When the German mathematician Emmy Noether proved her theorem [1], she establishes a connection between symmetries and conservation laws of differential equations, provided that the equations under consideration are obtained from the variational principle, i.e., they are Euler-Lagrange equations. In order to invoke this powerful theorem, one requires a Lagrangian of the underlying differential equations which make it cast as an Euler-Lagrange system [2]. It is well known that there is no Lagrangian for some scalar evolution equations, such as the classical heat equation, the Burgers equations, etc. In consequence, one cannot associate conservation laws with their symmetries via Noether's theorem. In [3, 4], Anco and Bluman provided a direct construction formulae of local conservation laws for PDEs expressed in a standard Cauchy-Koralevskaya form. In [5], Kara and Mahomed presented a partial Noether approach, which is efficient for Euler-Lagrange type equations.

Recently, the concept of nonlinear self-adjointness [6, 7] was introduced to construct conservation laws associated with symmetries of differential equations which includes

---

*E-mail: zhiyong-2008@163.com; (zh.y. Zhang).

strictly self-adjointness [8,9] and quasi self-adjointness [10] stated earlier. The method introduced a Lagrangian of the system consisted of the governing equations together with their adjoint equations and then utilized the substitution (see Definition 1) to construct conservation laws of the PDEs under study. Many important physical PDEs have been studied by the method successfully [6].

Another vital aspect is that the theory of approximate conservation laws associated with differential equations involving a small parameter is introduced with regard to approximate Noether symmetries, i.e., symmetries associated with a Lagrangian of the perturbed differential equations [11,12]. In [13,14], the authors studied how to construct approximate conservation laws for perturbed PDEs via approximate Lie-Bäcklund symmetries. Johnpillai [15] show how to construct approximate conservation laws of approximate Euler-type equations via approximate Noether type symmetry operators associated with partial Lagrangians. In [16], a basis of approximate conservation laws for perturbed PDEs was discussed. Quite recently, the concept of self-adjointness is extended to deal with perturbed PDEs and successfully applied to study two examples to obtain approximate conservation laws [6]. However, the study of approximate conservation law is still a major object both for mathematician and physicist and should be further developed.

The purpose of the paper is to perform a further study of the properties and applications of approximate nonlinear self-adjointness for perturbed PDEs. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, some basic notions and principles of nonlinear self-adjointness are reviewed and the definition of approximate nonlinear self-adjointness and its properties are given. In Section 3, the method is applied to a class of perturbed nonlinear wave equations and approximate conservation laws are constructed. The last section contains a conclusion of our results.

2 Main results

We first recall some basic notions and principles associated with nonlinear self-adjointness of PDEs, and then give the main results about approximate nonlinear self-adjointness.

2.1 Preliminaries

Consider a system of m PDEs with rth-order

$$E_\alpha^0(x, u, u_{(1)}, \cdots, u_{(r)}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n), u = (u^1, \dots, u^m)$ and $u_{(i)}$ denotes the collection of all ith-order partial derivatives of u with respect to x.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the formal Lagrangian of Eq.(1) given by

$$\mathcal{L} = v^\beta E^0_\alpha(x, u, u_{(1)}, \cdots, u_{(r)}),$$

then the adjoint equations of Eq.(1) are defined by

$$(E^0_\alpha)^*(x, u, v, u_t, u_1, \cdots, u_r, v_r) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta u^\alpha} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $v = (v^1, \dots, v^m)$ and $\delta/\delta u^\alpha$ is the variational derivative written as

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}(-1)^s D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_s}},$$

where, hereinafter, D_i denotes the total derivative operators. For example,

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + u_x \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + u_{xx} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} + u_{xt} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} + \cdots, etc.$$

In what follows, we state the definition of nonlinearly self-adjointness of equations.

Definition 1. (Nonlinear self-adjointness [6]) The system (1) is said to be nonlinearly self-adjoint if the adjoint system (2) is satisfied for all solutions u of Eq.(1) upon a substitution

$$v^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha(x, u), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m, \tag{3}$$

such that $\varphi(x, u) = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^m) \neq 0$.

Definition 1 is equivalent to the following identities holding for the undetermined coefficients λ^β_α

$$E^*(x, u, v, u_t, u_1, \cdots, u_r, v_r) = \lambda^\beta_\alpha E_0(x, u, u_t, u_1, \cdots, u_r), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m,$$

which will be applicable in the computations.

Remarks 1. If the substitution (3) becomes $v = u$, then Eq.(1) is called strictly self-adjointness. If $v = \varphi(u)$ is independent of x, then it is named by quasi-self-adjointness. The substitution (3) can also be extended to the case $v^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha(x, u, u_{(i)})$, which embraces the derivatives of u and is called differential substitution.

The following theorem will be used to construct conservation laws [9] for both unperturbed and perturbed cases.

Theorem 1. Any infinitesimal symmetry (Lie point, Lie-Bäcklund, nonlocal)

$$X = \xi^i(x, u, u_{(1)}, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \eta^\alpha(x, u, u_{(1)}, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}$$

of Eq.(1) leads to a conservation law $D_i(C^i)=0$ constructed by the formula

$$C^i = \xi^i \mathcal{L} + W^\alpha \Big[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_i^\alpha} - D_j(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ij}^\alpha}) + D_jD_k(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ijk}^\alpha}) - \dots\Big]$$
$$+D_j(W^\alpha)\Big[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ij}^\alpha} - D_k(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ijk}^\alpha}) + \dots\Big] + D_jD_k(W^\alpha)\Big[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ijk}^\alpha} - \dots\Big], \quad (4)$$

where $W^\alpha = \eta^\alpha - \xi^j u_j^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the formal Lagrangian. In applying the formula, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ should be written in the symmetric form with respect to all mixed derivatives $u_{ij}^\alpha, u_{ijk}^\alpha, \dots$.

Generally speaking, the term $\xi^i\mathcal{L}$ can be omitted because it vanishes identically on the solution manifold of the studying PDEs.

2.2 Approximate nonlinear self-adjointness

Now consider the system (1) with a perturbed term, i.e.,

$$E_\alpha = E_\alpha^0(x,u,u_{(1)},\cdots,u_{(r)}) + \epsilon E_\alpha^1(x,u,u_{(1)},\cdots,u_{(r)}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where ϵ is a small parameter. Note that if no special explanations are added, the symbols below represent as in Subsection 2.1.

Here, the Lagrangian $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of Eq.(5) is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = v^\beta[E_0^\alpha(x,u,u_{(1)},\cdots,u_{(r)}) + \epsilon E_1^\alpha(x,u,u_{(1)},\cdots,u_{(r)})],$$

then the adjoint equations of Eq.(5) are written as

$$E_\alpha^*(x,u,v,u_{(1)},v_{(1)},\dots,u_{(r)},v_{(r)}) = \frac{\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\delta u^\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2. (Approximate nonlinear self-adjointness) The perturbed system (5) is called approximate nonlinear self-adjointness if the adjoint system (6) is approximate satisfied for all solutions u of Eq.(5) upon a substitution

$$v^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha(x,u) + \epsilon\phi^\alpha(x,u), \qquad \alpha = 1,\dots,m, \quad (7)$$

such that not all φ^α and ϕ^α are identically equal to zero, where $\varphi(x,u) = (\varphi^1,\dots,\varphi^m)$ and $\phi(x,u) = (\phi^1,\dots,\phi^m)$.

Remarks 2. Some necessary remarks should be demonstrated about approximate nonlinear self-adjointness in Definition 2.

1. The approximate satisfaction in Definition 2 means that the adjoint equations of Eq.(5) work out

$$E_\alpha^*(x,u,v,u_{(1)},v_{(1)},\dots,u_{(r)},v_{(r)})_{(7)} = \Big[\frac{\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\delta u^\alpha}\Big]_{(7)} = O(\epsilon^2).$$

2. Similarly, as the unperturbed case, we can define approximate strictly self-adjointness with $v = u + \epsilon u$ (or u or ϵu) and approximate quasi-self-adjointness with $v^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha(u) + \epsilon\phi^\alpha(u)$ (or $\varphi^\alpha(u)$ or $\epsilon\phi^\alpha(u)$). Differential substitution also holds if v contains the derivatives of u.

3. If the substitution (7) does not exist for Eq.(5), i.e., Eq.(5) is not approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, then the resulting conserved vectors will be nonlocal in the sense that they involve the variable v connected with the physical variable u via adjoint equations (6).

In what follows, we present some properties of approximate nonlinear self-adjointness.

Theorem 2. If the adjoint system (2) has solutions with the form $v^\alpha = \epsilon f^\alpha(x, u)$ with some functions $f^\alpha(x, u)$, then system (5) is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness.

Proof. Observe that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} + \epsilon v^\beta E_1^\alpha$, one has

$$\frac{\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\delta u^\alpha} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta u^\alpha} + \epsilon\frac{\delta(v^\beta E_1^\alpha)}{\delta u^\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Assume Eq.(2) have perturbed solutions in the form $v^\alpha = \epsilon f^\alpha(x, u)$ and substitute them into the adjoint equations (8), $\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta u^\alpha$ vanish. Since each term of $\delta(v^\beta E_1^\alpha)/\delta u^\alpha$ contains v or its derivatives (linear homogeneous in v and its derivatives), so $\epsilon\,\delta(v^\beta E_1^\alpha)/\delta u^\alpha$ with $v^\alpha = \epsilon f^\alpha(x, u)$ are second order about ϵ and can be omitted. This proves the results. $\square$

For instance, consider perturbed nonlinear wave equation $F = u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \epsilon u u_t = 0$ with Lagrangian $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = v(u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \epsilon u u_t)$, the adjoint equation is $F^* = \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}/\delta u = v_{tt} - v_{xx} - \epsilon u v_t = 0$. The adjoint equation of unperturbed equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0$ is $v_{tt} - v_{xx} = 0$ which has solution in the form $v = \epsilon[(c_1x + c_2)t + c_3x + c_4]$, where not all arbitrary constants $c_i\,(i = 1, \ldots, 4)$ equal zero. This solution makes $F^* = -\epsilon^2 u(c_1x + c_2)$, then by Theorem 2, equation $F = 0$ is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness.

Remarks 3. In particular, any linear perturbed PDE is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, which is a parallel result as unperturbed case [6]. For example, consider the perturbed linear wave equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \epsilon u_t = 0$ whose Lagrangian is $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = v(u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \epsilon u_t)$, then its adjoint equation is $v_{tt} - v_{xx} - \epsilon v_t = 0$ which is independent of u, thus any nonzero solution is a substitution.

For the case of one dependent variable of Eq.(5), we have the following results.

Theorem 3. Eq.(5) is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness if and only if it becomes approximate strictly self-adjointness after multiplied by an appropriate multiplier $\mu(x, u) + \epsilon\nu(x, u)$.

Proof. Suppose Eq.(5) with one dependent variable written by $E_1 = E_1^0 + \epsilon E_1^1 = 0$ is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, for the substitution $v = \varphi + \epsilon\phi$, one has

$$\frac{\delta(vE_1)}{\delta u}_{|v=\varphi+\epsilon\phi} = \lambda E_1,$$

which is equivalent to the following system after separating it with respect to ϵ

$$\frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^0)}{\delta u} = \lambda_0 E_1^0, \qquad \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^1 + \phi E_1^0)}{\delta u} = \lambda_1 E_1^0 + \lambda_0 E_1^1. \tag{9}$$

with $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \epsilon\lambda_1$. On the other hand, the condition for approximate strictly self-adjointness and the variational derivative associated with equations $E_1 = 0$ yield

$$\frac{\delta(\omega(\mu(x,u) + \epsilon\nu(x,u))E_1)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\omega=u+\epsilon u} = \widetilde{\lambda} E_1. \tag{10}$$

Inserting dependent variable $\omega = \omega_0 + \epsilon\omega_1$ and $\widetilde{\lambda} = \widetilde{\lambda}_0 + \epsilon\widetilde{\lambda}_1$ into (10) and splitting it with different order of ϵ, for ϵ^0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta(\omega_0\mu E_1^0)}{\delta u} &= \omega_0\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^0 + \mu\omega_0\frac{\partial(\varphi E_1^0)}{\partial u} - D_i[\mu\omega_0\frac{\partial(\varphi E_1^0)}{\partial u_i}] + D_iD_j[\mu\omega_0\frac{\partial(\varphi E_1^0)}{\partial u_{ij}}] + \dots \\
&= \omega_0\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^0 + \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^0)}{\delta u} \\
&= \widetilde{\lambda}_0 E_1^0,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where, for Eq.(11), we regard ω_0 as a dependent variable in the first equation while $\varphi = \omega_0\,\mu(x,u)$ is taken as a new dependent variable instead of ω_0 to obtain the second term in the second equation. With the condition $\omega = u + \epsilon u$, one has

$$\frac{\delta(\omega_0\mu E_1^0)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\omega_0=u} = u\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^0 + \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^0)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\omega_0=u} = \widetilde{\lambda}_0 E_1^0. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, for ϵ^1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta[\omega_0\mu E_1^1 + (\omega_0\nu + \mu\omega_1)E_1^0]}{\delta u}\Big|_{\{\omega_0=u,\omega_1=u\}} \\
&\quad = u\Big[\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^1 + \frac{\partial(\mu+\nu)}{\partial u}E_1^0\Big] + \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^1 + \phi E_1^0)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\{\omega_0=u,\omega_1=u\}} \\
&\quad = \widetilde{\lambda}_1 E_1^0 + \widetilde{\lambda}_0 E_1^1,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\phi = \omega_0\nu(x,u) + \omega_1\mu(x,u)$ is a new dependent variable.

Now, assume equation $E_1 = 0$ is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, then we have the multiplier $\mu + \epsilon\nu = \varphi/u + \epsilon(\phi - \varphi)/u$, with the help of (9),(12) and (13), Eq.(10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta(\omega(\mu(x,u) + \epsilon\nu(x,u))E_1)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\omega=u+\epsilon u} \\
&\quad = u\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^0 + \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^0)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\omega_0=u} + \epsilon u\Big[\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}E_1^1 + \frac{\partial(\mu+\nu)}{\partial u}E_1^0\Big] + \epsilon\frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^1 + \phi E_1^0)}{\delta u}\Big|_{\{\omega_0=u,\omega_1=u\}} \\
&\quad = \Big[\lambda_0 + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial u} - \frac{\varphi}{u}\Big]E_1^0 + \epsilon\Big[\Big(\lambda_0 + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial u} - \frac{\varphi}{u}\Big)E_1^1 + \Big(\lambda_1 + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial u} - \frac{\phi}{u}\Big)E_1^0\Big] \\
&\quad = \widetilde{\lambda}_0 E_1^0 + \epsilon(\widetilde{\lambda}_1 E_1^0 + \widetilde{\lambda}_0 E_1^1),
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_0 = \lambda_0 + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} - \frac{\varphi}{u}, \quad \widetilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} - \frac{\phi}{u}.$$

Hence, equation $E_1 = 0$ multiplied by the multiplier $\mu + \epsilon\nu$ is approximate strictly self-adjointness.

Conversely, let $E_1 = 0$ with multiplier $\mu + \epsilon\nu$ is approximate strictly self-adjointness, then taking $\varphi = u\mu, \phi = u(\mu + \nu)$, Eq.(9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^0)}{\delta u} &= \Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_0 - u\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^0,\\ \frac{\delta(\varphi E_1^1 + \phi E_1^0)}{\delta u} &= \Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_0 - u\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^1 + \Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_1 - u\frac{\partial(\mu+\nu)}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^0.\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively,

$$\frac{\delta(vE_1)}{\delta u}_{|v=\varphi+\epsilon\phi} = \Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_0 - u\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^0 + \epsilon\Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_0 - u\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^1 + \epsilon\Big[\widetilde{\lambda}_1 - u\frac{\partial(\mu+\nu)}{\partial u}\Big] E_1^0,$$

then

$$\lambda_0 = \widetilde{\lambda}_0 - u\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}, \quad \lambda_1 = \widetilde{\lambda}_1 - u\frac{\partial(\mu+\nu)}{\partial u}.$$

We conclude that equation $E_1 = 0$ is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness, thus complete the proof. □

Remarks 4. 1. Theorem 3 holds for some special cases of $\lambda_i, \widetilde{\lambda}_i\,(i = 0, 1)$. For example, if $\lambda_1 = \widetilde{\lambda}_1 = 0$, then one easily find that the substitution is given by $v = \varphi$ and the multiplier becomes $\mu = \varphi/u$, which has similar results as unperturbed case [6].

2. If the substitution for approximate strictly self-adjointness is adopted by $v = u$, i.e., $\omega_0 = u, \omega_1 = 0$ in the proof of Theorem 3, then we have the multiplier $\mu + \epsilon\nu$ is taken the form $(\varphi + \epsilon\phi)/u$. Similarly, if the substitution is $v = \epsilon u$, we have the multiplier $\mu + \epsilon\nu = \epsilon\phi/u$.

3 Applications

In this section, we concentrate on the approximate conservation laws of a class of perturbed nonlinear wave equations

$$u_{tt} + \epsilon u_t = [F(u)u_x]_x, \quad F'(u) \neq 0, \tag{14}$$

where $F(u)$ is an arbitrary smooth function of u. Eq.(14) describe wave phenomena in shallow water, long radio engineering lines and isentropic motion of a fluid in a pipe etc. [12,17]. In these equations the perturbing term ϵu_t arises in the presence of dissipation and the functions $F(u)$ is defined by the properties of the medium and the character of the dissipation.

Many papers dedicate to study Eq.(14) by means of various methods and affluent approximate solutions are obtained [12, 18]. As far as the authors know, the first-order approximate nonlocal conservation laws of (14) has not yet been presented. For Eq.(14), we take the following Lagrangian

$$L = v\left[u_{tt} - [F(u)u_x]_x + \epsilon u_t\right], \tag{15}$$

and work out the variational derivative of this formal Lagrangian to obtain the system of two coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L}{\delta v} &= u_{tt} - [F(u)u_x]_x + \epsilon u_t = 0,\\ \frac{\delta L}{\delta u} &= v_{tt} - F(u)v_{xx} - \epsilon v_t = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the second equation is called the adjoint equation of Eq.(14).

3.1 Approximate nonlinear self-adjointness of Eq.(14)

Similar as the proof of Theorem 4, we prove the following proposition for Eq.(14).

Proposition 1. Eq.(14) is approximate nonlinear self-adjointness by the substitution

$$v = (c_1 t + c_2)x + c_3 t + c_4 + \epsilon\left[(\frac{1}{2}c_1 t^2 + c_5 t + c_6)x + \frac{1}{2}c_3 t^2 + c_7 t + c_8\right], \tag{17}$$

where $c_i, (i = 1, \ldots, 8)$ are arbitrary constants which make $v \neq 0$.

Proof. Assuming $v = \phi(x, t, u) + \epsilon\varphi(x, t, u)$ and substituting it into the adjoint equation, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_{tt} + 2\phi_{tu}u_t + \phi_{uu}u_t^2 + \phi_u u_{tt} + \epsilon(\varphi_{tt} + 2\varphi_{tu}u_t + \varphi_{uu}u_t^2 + \varphi_u u_{tt} - \phi_t - \phi_u u_t)\\ &-F(u)[\phi_{xx} + 2\phi_{xu}u_x + \phi_{uu}u_x^2 + \phi_u u_{xx} + \epsilon(\varphi_{xx} + 2\varphi_{xu}u_x + \varphi_{uu}u_x^2 + \varphi_u u_{xx})]\\ &= \lambda[u_{tt} - F'(u)u_x^2 - F(u)u_{xx} + \epsilon u_t], \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where we omit the second-order term of ϵ in Eq.(18).

Comparing the coefficients for u_{tt}, u_{xx} in both sides we obtain $\phi_u = \varphi_u = 0$, then the above equation (18) becomes $\phi_{tt} + \epsilon(\varphi_{tt} - \phi_t) - F(u)(\phi_{xx} + \epsilon\varphi_{xx}) = 0$ and yields

$$\phi_{tt} = 0, \quad \varphi_{tt} - \phi_t = 0, \quad \phi_{xx} = 0, \quad \varphi_{xx} = 0,$$

which gives the solutions $\phi=(c_1t+c_2)x+c_3t+c_4, \varphi=(\frac{1}{2}c_1t^2+c_5t+c_6)x+\frac{1}{2}c_3t^2+c_7t+c_8$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 1 provides many choices for multipliers to make Eq.(14) become approximate strictly self-adjointness. For example, after assigning proper value to some parameters, we have $v=1+\epsilon$. Multiplying it on the left side of Eq.(14), by means of Theorem 3, we obtain $\mu(x,t)=1/u, \nu(x,t)=0$, then Eq.(14) multiplied by it becomes

$$\frac{1}{u}\Big[u_{tt}-[F(u)u_x]_x+\epsilon u_t\Big]=0, \tag{19}$$

which is approximate strictly self-adjointness because, at this time, $\mathcal{L}=v[u_{tt}-[F(u)u_x]_x+\epsilon u_t]/u$ and the adjoint equations of Eq.(19) is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta u}=&\frac{1}{u^3}\big[u^2v_{tt}-2uu_tv_t-2uvu_{tt}+2vu_t^2-\epsilon u^2v_t\big]\\&+\frac{1}{u^3}[uF'(u)-2F(u)]vu_x^2+\frac{1}{u^2}[2u_xv_x+2vu_{xx}-uv_{xx}]F(u)=0,\end{aligned}$$

which becomes Eq.(19) by substitution $v=u$. Alternatively, one can adopt $\mu=\nu=1/u$ to make Eq.(14) become approximate strictly self-adjointness.

3.2 Approximate conservation laws

Now we turn to concentrate on the approximate conservation laws of Eq.(14). The first step is to perform approximate symmetry classification of Eq.(14). The exact symmetry of unperturbed equations

$$u_{tt}-[F(u)u_x]_x=0, \tag{20}$$

is well known [19]. The maximal Lie algebra is generated by a three-dimensional algebra and three special cases correspond to four- or five-dimensional Lie algebra. The results are reduced to those cases from Table 1 by the equivalence transformation

$$\widetilde{x}=e_1x+e_2,\quad \widetilde{t}=e_3t+e_4,\quad \widetilde{u}=e_5u+e_6,$$

where $e_i,(i=1,\ldots,6)$ are arbitrary constants.

Table 1. Lie algebras of Eq.(20)

$F(u)$	Symmetry Operators
arbitrary	$X_1=\partial_x, X_2=\partial_t, X_3=x\partial_x+t\partial_t$
e^u	$X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4=x\partial_x+2\partial_u$
$u^\mu(\mu\neq-4,-\frac{3}{4})$	$X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5=\mu x\partial_x+2u\partial_u$
u^{-4}	$X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5,X_6=t^2\partial_t+tu\partial_u$
$u^{-\frac{4}{3}}$	$X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5,X_7=x^2\partial_x-3xu\partial_u$

Table 2 gives the approximate symmetries obtained by the approach of Baikov *et.al.* [12].

Table 2. Approximate Lie algebras of Eq.(14)

$F(u)$	Approximate symmetry operators
arbitrary	$\widetilde{X}_1=\partial_x, \widetilde{X}_2=\partial_t, \widetilde{X}_3=\epsilon(x\partial_x+t\partial_t)$
e^u	$\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{X}_3, \widetilde{X}_4=x\partial_x+t\partial_t+\epsilon t(\frac{t}{2}\partial_t-2\partial_u)$
$u^\mu(\mu\neq -4,-\frac{3}{4})$	$\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{X}_3, \widetilde{X}_5=\epsilon(x\partial_x+\frac{2}{\mu}u\partial_u), \widetilde{X}_6=t\partial_t-\frac{2}{\mu}u\partial_u+\epsilon\frac{\mu t}{\mu+4}(\frac{t}{2}\partial_t-\frac{2}{\mu}u\partial_u)$
u^{-4}	$\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{X}_3, \widetilde{X}_5, \widetilde{X}_6, \widetilde{X}_7=\epsilon(t^2\partial_t+tu\partial_u)$
$u^{-4/3}$	$\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{X}_3, \widetilde{X}_5, \widetilde{X}_6, \widetilde{X}_8=\epsilon(x^2\partial_x-3xu\partial_u)$

In what follows, we will use formula (4) in Theorem 1 to obtain first-order approximate conservation laws of Eq.(14)

$$\big[D_t(C^1)+D_x(C^2)\big]_{(14)}=O(\epsilon^2),$$

by virtue of the approximate symmetries in Table 2, where $_{|(14)}$ denotes calculation on the solution manifold of Eq.(14).

Inserting the Lagrangian (15) into formula (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}C^1&=W(\epsilon v-v_t)+vD_t(W),\\ C^2&=W[F(u)v_x-F'(u)u_xv]-D_x(W)F(u)v.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In particular, we investigate the following two cases to illustrate the effectiveness of approximate nonlinear self-adjointness in constructing approximate conservation laws, while other cases can be done by formula (21) with similar procedure.

Example 1. Now, we utilize operator $\widetilde{X}_4$ in Table 2 to calculate the conserved vector. In this case, $W=-2\epsilon t-xu_x-\big(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2\big)u_t$ and $F(u)=e^u$, then the conserved vector (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}C^1&=\Big[2\epsilon t+xu_x+(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2)u_t\Big](v_t-\epsilon v)-v\Big[2\epsilon+xu_{xt}+u_t+(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2+t)(e^uu_x)_x\Big],\\ C^2&=e^u(u_xv-v_x)\Big[2\epsilon t+xu_x+(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2)u_t\Big]+e^u\Big[u_x+xu_{xx}+(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2)u_{xt}\Big]v,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where v is given by the substitution (17).

Specially, we take $v=x$, then (22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}C_1^1&=-\epsilon x\Big[xu_x+tu_t\Big]-x\Big[2\epsilon+xu_{xt}+u_t+(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2+t)(e^uu_x)_x\Big],\\ C_1^2&=e^u(xu_x-1)\Big[2\epsilon t+xu_x+(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2)u_t\Big]+xe^u\Big[u_x+xu_{xx}+(t+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon t^2)u_{xt}\Big],\end{aligned}$$

which make

$$\Big[D_t(C_1^1)+D_x(C_1^2)\Big]_{(14)}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 x\left[t^2\epsilon u_t-t^2(e^u u_x)_x^2-4\right].$$

Example 2. Consider $\widetilde{X}_6$ for $F(u)=u^\mu$. Here, $W=-\frac{2}{\mu}u-\frac{2\epsilon tu}{\mu+4}-\big(t+\frac{\epsilon\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\big)u_t$. Then the conserved vector (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
C^1&=\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u+\epsilon\frac{2tu}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)u_t\Big](v_t-\epsilon v)\\
&\quad -v\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_t+\epsilon\frac{2u}{\mu+4}-\epsilon\frac{(2+\mu)tu_t}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)(u^\mu u_x)_x+\Big(1+\epsilon\frac{\mu t}{\mu+4}\Big)u_t\Big],\\
C^2&=u^{\mu-1}(\mu u_x v-uv_x)\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u+\epsilon\frac{2tu}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)u_t\Big]\\
&\quad +u^\mu v\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_x+\epsilon\frac{2tu_x}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)u_{xt}\Big],
\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

where v is also given by the substitution (17).

In particular, choosing $v=x+\epsilon t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2^1&=\epsilon(1-x)\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u+tu_t\Big]-\epsilon t\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_t+t(u^\mu u_x)_x+u_t\Big]\\
&\quad -x\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_t+\epsilon\frac{2u}{\mu+4}-\epsilon\frac{(2+\mu)tu_t}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)(u^\mu u_x)_x+\Big(1+\epsilon\frac{\mu t}{\mu+4}\Big)u_t\Big],\\
C_2^2&=u^{\mu-1}(\mu u_x x-u)\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u+\epsilon\frac{2tu}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)u_t\Big]+\epsilon\mu t u^{\mu-1}u_x\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}tu+u_t\Big]\\
&\quad +u^\mu x\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_x+\epsilon\frac{2tu_x}{\mu+4}+\Big(t+\epsilon\frac{\mu t^2}{2(\mu+4)}\Big)u_{xt}\Big]+\epsilon t u^\mu\Big[\frac{2}{\mu}u_x t+u_{xt}\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[D_t(C_2^1)+D_x(C_2^2)\Big]_{(14)}\\
&\quad=-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\mu(\mu+4)}\left[\mu^2t^2(x+\epsilon t)u_{tt}+2\mu^2(\epsilon+1)tu_t+8tu_t+4\mu u(2t\epsilon+x+1)+16u\right].
\end{aligned}$$

4 Conclusion

We provide a more detailed discussion of approximate nonlinear self-adjointness and related properties are given. The results are applied to a class of perturbed nonlinear wave equations and approximate conservation laws are obtained.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Prof. N.H. Ibragimov for constructive suggestions and helpful comments.

References

[1] E. Noether, Invariante Variationsprobleme, Nachr. König. Gissell. Wissen., Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl. 2 (1918) 235-257, English transl.: Transport Theory Statist. Phys. 1 (1971) 186-207.

[2] N. H. Ibragimov, A. H. Kara, F. M. Mahomed, Lie-Bäcklund and Noether symmetries with applications, Nonlinear Dyn. 15 (1998) 115-136.

[3] S.C. Anco, G.W. Bluman, Direct construction method for conservation laws of partial differential equations, part I: examples of conservation law classifications. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 13 (2002) 545-566

[4] S.C. Anco, G.W. Bluman, Direct construction method for conservation laws of partial differential equations, part II: general treatment. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 9 (2002) 567-585.

[5] A.H. Kara, F.M. Mahomed, Noether-type symmetries and conservation laws via partial Lagrangians. Nonlinear Dyn. 5 (2006) 367-383.

[6] N.H. Ibragimov, Nonlinear self-adjointness in constructing conservation laws, Archives of ALGA Volume 7/8, 2010-2011.

[7] N.H. Ibragimov, Nonlinear self-adjointness and conservation laws, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 432002, 8p.

[8] N.H. Ibragimov, Integrating factors, adjoint equations and Lagrangians, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 742-757.

[9] N.H. Ibragimov, A new conservation theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007) 311-328.

[10] N.H. Ibragimov, Quasi self-adjoint differential equations, Arch. ALGA 4 (2007) 55-60.

[11] N. H. Ibragimov, Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, CRC Press, Boca Raton, vol. 1, 1994; vol. 2, 1995; vol. 3, 1996.

[12] V.A. Baikov, R.K. Gazizov, N.H. Ibragimov, Perturbation methods in group analysis, J. Soviet. Math. 55 (1991) 1450-1490.

[13] A. G. Johnpillai, A. H. Kara, Variational Formulation of Approximate Symmetries and Conservation Laws, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 40 (2001) 1501-1509.

[14] A.H. Kara, F.M. Mahomed, G. Ünal, Approximate symmetries and conservation laws with applications, Int. J. Theoret. Phys. 38 (1999) 2389-2399.

[15] A.G. Johnpillai, A.H. Kara, F.M. Mahomed, Approximate Noether-type symmetries and conservation laws via partial Lagrangians for PDEs with a small parameter, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 223 (2009) 508-518.

[16] A.G. Johnpillai, A.H. Kara, F.M. Mahomed, A basis of approximate conservation laws for PDEs with a small parameter, Int. J. Nonlinear Mech. 41 (2006) 830-837.

[17] I.A. Charnyi, Unstable Motion of a Real Fluid in Pipes, Nedra, Moscow 1975 (in Russian).

[18] Z.Y. Zhang, Y.F. Chen, A comparative study of approximate symmetry and approximate homotopy symmetry to a class of perturbed nonlinear wave equation, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 4300-4318.

[19] W. F. Ames, E. Adams, R. J. Lohner, Group properties of $u_{tt} = [f(u)u_x]_x$, Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 16 (1981) 439-447.